section, and in doing so, as Congressman Miller said when he went out the door the other day, we are certainly not recommending that the Government be filled with subversives as it was felt by the deletion of this section.

It is of fairly recent origin. Why it was contained in the Constitution, I don't think we were able to ascertain, though we had some considerable research done into the background of it, and Lew Noonberg, the reporter, is going to tell you a little bit about a possible conflict with Article LXXXV-A, in the event that it is deleted from the new Constitution. We did not, however, discuss why it was, exactly why it was felt necessary to place the provision in the Constitution. Only four States have done so, besides Maryland, and in recommending the deletion of the section, we do not make any recommendation one way or the other with regard to legislation such as Article LXXXV-A on subversive actities

I would be less than frank if, as chairman of the committee, I would not admit that I wear another hat as well. I am one of counsel in a suit now pending in